UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA FT. LAUDERDALE DIVISION

Document 1

00--6016

SAINTIL SAINTILUS

Plaintiff,

CIV-UNGARO-BENAGES

٧.

NAMASCO CORPORATION and NAMASCO CORPORATION d/b/a NAMASCO STEEL COMPANY

Defendant.

NIGHT BOX FILED

JAN 5 2000

CLARENCE MADDOX CLERK, USDC/SDFL/FTL

NOTICE OF REMOVAL

Defendant, Namasco Corporation and Namasco Corporation d/b/a Namasco Steel Company ("Namasco"), files its Notice of Removal of an action pending in the Circuit Court of the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit, in and for Broward County, Florida, to the United States District Court, Southern District of Florida, Ft. Lauderdale Division, being the district and division in which the action is pending, and states as follows:

- 1. Plaintiff commenced this action against Namasco in the Circuit Court of the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County, Florida, in a case styled <u>Saintill Saintillus v. Namasco Corporation and Namasco Corporation d/b/a Namasco Steel Company</u>, Case No. 99020917-CACE-08.
- 2. All process, pleadings, and orders, as well as all pending motions which have been filed in this case, are attached to this Notice of Removal.
- 3. This action was commenced when Plaintiff filed his Complaint on or about December 10, 1999. A summons and the Complaint were served on Namasco on

December 15, 1999. Accordingly, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b), Namasco has timely filed this Notice of Removal in that it is being filed within thirty (30) days from service.

- 4. This Court has original jurisdiction of the action under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441, in that it is a civil action of which the district courts have original jurisdiction founded on a claim or right arising under the laws of the United States.
- 5. Plaintiff's Complaint alleges that he was discriminated against in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000 et seq. Because the Complaint claims Title VII, removal is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1441 and 29 U.S.C. § 633a(c).
- 6. Namasco respectfully requests that the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida accept this Notice of Removal and that it assume jurisdiction of this cause and issue such further orders and process as may be necessary to bring before it all parties necessary for the trial hereof.

WHEREFORE, Defendant, Namasco Corporation and Namasco Corporation d/b/a Namasco Steel Company, prays that the above action be removed from the Circuit Court for the Seventeenth Judicial Circuit in and for Broward County, Florida, to the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida.

Document 1

Respectfully submitted,

SHUTTS & BOWEN
Attorneys for Defendant
201 S. Biscayne Boulevard
1500 Miami Center
Miami, Florida 33131
(305) 358-6300
(305) 347-7386 (Facsimile)

By:

John T. Kolinski Florida Bar No. 307971

Jennifer J. Ator

Florida Bar No. 0120911

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

HEREBY CERTIFY that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was mailed on this 4th day of January, 2000 to John D. Ameen, Esq., Ameen & Drucker, P.A., 3111 University Drive, Suite 608, Coral Springs, Florida 33065.

OF/COUNSEL

MIADOCS 309202.1 MIV

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE 17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLOIRDA

SAINTIL SAINTILUS						
Plaintiff	Case No.					
v. NAMASCO CORPORATION and NAMASCO CORPORATION d/b/a NAMASCO STEEL COMPANY	99020917					
Defendant/						
SUMMONS						
STATE OF FLORIDA To each Sheriff of Said State						
YOU ARE HEREBY COMMANDED to serve this summons, a copy of the on Defendant, Namasco Corporation by serving its registered agent:						
James Boedeher 907 S. 20 th Street Tampa, Florida 33605						
Each Defendant is required to serve written defenses to the Complaint or petition John D. Ameen, Plaintiffs' attorney whose address is Ameen & Drucker, P.A., 3111 University Drive, Suite 608, Coral Springs, FL 33065, Telephone (954) 340-7277, within twenty (20) days after service of the summons on the Defendant, exclusive of the day of service, and to file the original of the defenses with the clerk of the court either before service on the plaintiffs' attorney or immediately thereafter. If a defendant fails to do so, a default will be entered against that defendant for the relief demanded in the complaint or petition. DEC 10 1999 DATED on, 1999						
James Boodeher 17-15-99 3:10p Donald Rva	ROBERT C. LOCKWOOD Clerk of the Court STUART SMITH By: As Deputy Clerk A TRUE COPY Circuit Court Sant					

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR THE 17TH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR BROWARD COUNTY, FLOIRDA

SAINTIL SAINTILUS

Plaintiff Case No.

99020917

v. NAMASCO CORPORATION and NAMASCO CORPORATION d/b/a NAMASCO STEEL COMPANY

Defendant.

COMPLAINT

DEC 10 1999

THE Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, by and through his undersigned attorneys, hereby sues the Defendant, NAMASCO CORPORATION and NAMASCO CORPORATION d/b/a NAMASCO STEEL COMPANY (hereinafter NAMASCO) and alleges as follows:

- 1. This is an action for damages brought pursuant to the Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e et seq. (hereinafter referred to as Title VII), as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-166, 105 Stat. 1071 (1991), and the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, at Fla. Stat. §§760.01 through 760.11.
- 2. This is an action for damages in excess of \$15,000, exclusive of interest, cost, and attorneys' fees.
- 3. At all times material hereto the Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, was and is a resident of Broward County, Florida.
- 4. Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, is a citizen of the United States of America.

- 5. Plaintiff was employed with Defendant, NAMASCO, at its business location on 1621 NW 12th Avenue, Pompano Beach, FL. Defendant, NAMASCO, is a foreign corporation and at all times material hereto has carried on a business in Florida, has an office or agency in Florida, and has engaged in substantial and not isolated activity within Florida.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, was an employee of Defendant, NAMASCO
- 7. At all times material hereto, Defendant, NAMASCO, was and continues to be an employer within the meaning of Title VII.
- 8. On December 1, 1998, the Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, filed a charge of discrimination with the Equal Opportunity Employment Commission (EEOC), attached hereto as exhibit "A".
- 9. On September 20, 1999 Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, was issued a Notice of Right to Sue, attached hereto as exhibit "B".
- 10. Plaintiff also timely filed his charge of discrimination with the Florida Commission on Human Relations.
- 11. Immediately prior to his discharge Plaintiff held the position of supervisor.
- 12. At all times material hereto, Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, possessed the skills, experience, attributes and training required for the position of supervisor at Defendant.
- 13. On or about 7/13/98, Plaintiff was discharged by Defendant, NAMASCO. Defendant has alleged that Plaintiff was discharged for fighting. However, Defendant was made

- Page 7 of 14
- aware by the Pompano Beach Police Department that Plaintiff had not engaged in fighting.
- 14. Prior to the alleged date of discharge, management at Defendant had made disparaging remarks about Plaintiff's national origin.
- 15. Additionally, management at Defendant told Plaintiff that he was making too much money for a Haitian.
- 16. Defendant, NAMASCO, has discriminated against Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, in his employment because of his national origin.
- 17. Defendant, NAMASCO, terminated Plaintiff's employment because of his national origin.
- 18. Defendant, NAMASCO'S, actions set forth in this Complaint were intentional and malicious or done with reckless indifference to Plaintiff's protected rights under federal and state law.
- 19. The Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, has been required to retain the legal services of the law firm AMEEN & DRUCKER, P.A., and has agreed to pay them a reasonable attorney's fee for those services.

COUNT I

TITLE VII - RACIAL DISCRIMINATION

Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, realleges and reavers Paragraphs one through nineteen as if fully set forth herein and would further allege as follows:

20. Defendant, NAMASCO, discriminated against and terminated Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, because of his national origin.

- 21. The unlawful discriminatory pattern, practice, usage and custom by Defendant, its agents, and its employees, as set forth herein, violates Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, 42 U.S.C. § 2000e, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991, Pub. L. No. 102-166, 105 Stat. 1071 (1991).
- 22. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant, NAMASCO'S, unlawful and discriminatory employment practices and policies, Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, has suffered damages and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and damages in the future, including, but not limited:
 - a. Loss of past and future income.
 - b. Loss of other fringe benefits.
 - c. Stress; anxiety and emotional distress.
 - d. Other financial losses.
- 23. Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, is entitled to an award of reasonable attorney's fees, expert fees, costs and expenses related to the litigation pursuant to 706(k) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. § 2000c-5(k), as amended by Section 11 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, demands judgment for damages against the Defendant, NAMASCO, together with interest and costs and demands trial by jury of all issues triable as of right by jury and requests that judgment be entered in his favor against, Defendant, NAMASCO:

- A. Declaring, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2201 that the acts and practices complained of herein are in violation of Title VII;
- B. Permanently enjoining Defendant, NAMASCO, from continuing and/or maintaining the policy, practice, and custom of denying, abridging, withholding

Page 9 of 14

- or conditioning the federally protected rights of employees on the basis of national origin and color;
- C. Awarding Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, back pay, pre-judgment interest, postjudgment interest, and damages for all employment and retirement benefits he would have received but for Defendant, NAMASCO'S, acts and practices:
- D. Awarding Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, front pay in lieu of reinstatement;
- E. Awarding Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, all compensatory and punitive damages provided for in 42 U.S.C. § 1981a.
- F. Awarding reasonable attorney's fees, expert fees, and costs in this action pursuant to Section 706 (d) of Title VII, 42 U.S.C. 2000(e)-5(k), as amended by Section 113 of the Civil Rights Act of 1991;
- G. Awarding Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, all other sums of money, medical benefits and other employment benefits with interest thereon, to which Plaintiff is entitled; and
- H. Ordering any other relief as this court deems just and proper.

COUNT II VIOLATION OF THE FLORIDA CIVIL RIGHTS ACT OF 1992 DISCRIMINATION

Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, realleges and reavers Paragraphs one through nineteen as if fully set forth herein and would further allege as follows:

24. This is an action for affirmative relief, equitable relief, damages and all other remedies for unlawful discrimination under the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992, at Fla. Stat. §§760.01 through 760.11.

- 25. Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, is an aggrieved person within the meaning of Fla. Stat. 760.02.
- 26. Defendant, NAMASCO, has employed and does employ 15 or more employees for each working day in each of 20 or more calendar weeks in the current or preceding calendar year, is an employer as defined by Fla. Stat. 760.02, and is capable of being sued under Fla. Stat. 760.11.
- 27. Defendant, NAMASCO, intentionally discriminated against Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, by discharging him.
- 28. Defendant intentionally discriminated against Defendant because of his national origin and race.
- 29. Defendant's acts were intentional and violate the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's acts, Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer lost employment, income, benefits, and has suffered and continues to suffer distress, humiliation, embarrassment, and damage to his reputation.
- 31. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies required by Fla. Stat. 760.11 and has otherwise fulfilled all other prerequisites to bringing this action.
- 32. Fla. Stat. 760.11 authorizes the Court to issue an order prohibiting the discriminatory practices, equitable relief and the award of compensatory and punitive damages and attorneys' fees.
 - WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, SAINTIL SAINTILUS, demands trial by jury and requests this Court to:
 - A. Declare that Defendants have unlawfully discriminated against Plaintiff in violation of the Florida Civil Rights Act of 1992; and

- B. Issue and order prohibiting further discrimination; and
- C. Order equitable relief including but not limited to an Order reinstating Plaintiff with all promotions and seniority rights to which he is entitled, backpay and all other equitable relief provided in Fla. Stat. 760.11; and
- D. Award Plaintiff all compensatory and punitive damages provided for in Fla. Stat.760.11; and
- E. Award Plaintiff his costs, attorneys' fees, attorneys' fees and such other and further relief, as the Court deems proper.

Respectfully submitted this 9th day of December, 1999.

AMEEN & DRUCKER, P.A. Attorneys for Plaintiff 3111 University Drive, Ste. 608 Coral Springs, FL 33065 (954) 340-7277 Fax (954) 340-8733

John D. Ameen

FL Bar. No. 0008036

Case 0:00-cv-06016-UU	 Document 1 — Ente 	red on FLSD Do cket (11/06/2000 Page 12 of 14			
	S. coual Employment Op	•				
	KSMISSAL AND NO	TICE OF RIGHTS				
Fo: Saintil Saintilus 113 NE 5 th Street Pompano Beach, FL 33060		From: Miami District Office Equal Employment Opportunity Commission One Biscayne Tower, Suite 2700 2 South Biscayne Boulevard Miami, Florida 33131-1805				
On behalf of a person apparent						
Charge Number 15A990172	EEOC Representati James Colon, State	ve & Local Coordinator	Telephone No. (305) 536-4454			
THE FEOC IS CLOSING ITS HEE ON	THES CHARGE FOR THE F	OLLOWING REASON:				
THE FEOC IS CLOSING ITS FILE ON THIS CHARGE FOR THE FOLLOWING REASON: The facts affected in the charge fail to state a claim under any of the statutes enforced by the EEOC.						
The races alleges in the	The to stole a count	·	od chronoca by the zero.			
Your allegations did not a	wone a disability that is	covered by the American	ns with Disabilities Act.			
The Respondent employs less than the required number of employees or is not otherwise covered by the statutes.						
We cannot investigate yo	ur charge because it was	not filed within the time	e limit required by law.			
• •			mation, failed to appear or be e extent that it was not possible			
	warm made to locate were	we were not able to de				
While reasonable expres	were made to locate you,	we were not able to do	86.			
You had 30 days to acce	ot a reasonable settlemen	t offer that affords full r	elief for the harm you alleged.			
that the information obta	imed establishes violations are with the statutes. No	of the statutes. This d	, the EEOC is unable to conclude loes not certify that the ny other issues that might be			
	-	local fair amployment n	ractices agency that investigated			
this charge.	HE WHILITINGS OF THE STATE OF	rosat ian employment p	ractices agency that investigated			
Other (briefly state)		·				
•	— NOTICE OF SU					
Title VII, the Americans with Disa and of your right to sae that we with	homes Act, and/or Age Discrin sent you. You may pursue this I you decide to sue, you must s	nination in Employment Act: matter further by bringing suit	This will be the only notice of dismissal in federal or state court against the rour receipt of this Notice. Otherwise			
	quey due for any violations that end a copy of your court complai	occurred more than 2 years	willful violations) of the alleged EPA (3 years) before you file suit may not be			
SEP 20 1999	— Pa		garage and the second of the s			
cc: (Date Mailest)	Federico	Costales, District Director				
Namasco Steel Company	Mr. John D. Amen	entropy of the state of the st				
c/o Mr. John T. Kutiuski	3111 University Drive	# 608				
1500 Miami Center	Coral Springs, Fr. 330	65				
201 South Biscayne Boulevard	ļ	a v t	and the second second			
Miami, FL 33131		3	EEOC Form 161 (10/96)			
			CEC/C POINT (6) (10/90)			

CHAR DISCRIMINATION	on FESD Do cket (GENCY	CHARGE NUMBER			
his form is affected by the Priv. of 1974; See Privacy Act	Statement] FEPA	EM-209-12-98 15A990172			
ompleting this form.		2 2200	134770172			
Broward County Human Rights Division and EEOC State or local Agency, if any						
AME (Indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)	н	ME TELEF	HONE (Include Area Code)			
Mr. Saintil Saintilus		(954) 927-4649			
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP			DATE OF BIRTH			
113 N E 5 Street, Pompano Beach, FL 33060 02/02/52 NAMED IS THE EMPLOYER, LABOR ORGANIZATION, EMPLOYMENT AGENCY APPRENTICESHIP COMMITTEE,						
STATE OR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AGENCY WHO DISCRIMINATED AGAINST ME (If more than one list below.)						
1	OF EMPLOYEES, MEME	1 .	EPHONE (Include Area Code) 954) 785-2850			
Namasco Steel Company 15 - STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP	100 Employee	\$ 1 1	954) 785-2850 COUNTY			
1621 N W 12 Avenue, Pompano Beach, FL 330		011				
NAME	TE	ELEPHONE N	IUMBER <i>(Include Area Code)</i>			
STREET ADDRESS CITY, STATE AND ZIP	CODE		COUNTY			
CAUSE OF DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es))		DATE DISC	RININATION TOOK PLACE			
RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION X NA	TIONAL ORIGIN	EARLIEST LATEST				
RETALIATION AGE DISABILITY OTHER (Spe	effy)	01/02/	,, ,,			
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional space is needed, attach extra she		CONT	INUING ACTION			
 I was assaulted on the job by a co-worker, derogatory remarks were made about my national origin. I was disciplined and then discharged on 7/13/98 by the respondent because of my national origin. The co-worker who assaulted me was rehired because he is an American. I am Haitian. The respondent stated I was being discharged for fighting and because I was making too much money for a Haitian. I believe I was discriminated against in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Florida Civil Rights Act (Chapter 760) and Article II, Section 1(a)(1) of the Broward County Human Rights Act. 						
Sworm to and subscribed before me this day of Described 1998 ELL K. S. Signature of Notary Public-State of Morae Print, Type or Stanep Name of Notary Public Personally known to me, or Produced identification: # 15534-780-52 042 o Op. 2/2/20 Type of identification						
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or NOTARY (When recessary for State and Local Requirements) local Agency, if any. I will advise the agencies if I change my						
prograting of my change in accordance with their procedures it is			he above charge and that ge, information and belief.			
I declare under pinalty of perjury that the foregoing is true SI and correct.	SMATURE OF SOMPLA	INANT ,	<i>T</i> V .			
Saintel Seintilies	aintil)	Sam	leles			
1-12-98	BSCRIBED AND SWO by, month, and year) December 1998	ORN TO B	EFORE ME THIS DATE ELLEEN K. S'THMA COMMISSIC . 493242			
EEOC FORM 5 (Rev. 06/92)		THE PL	ATLAN" UNDING CO., INC.			

OCHUNGARODO BENAGES 14 44 Document 1 CIVIL C 3 JS-44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplied ment the fil eadings or other papers as required law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use file Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON THE REVERSE OF THE FORM.) DEFENDANTS PLAINTIFFS MAGISTRATE JUDGE Saintil Saintilus Namasco Corporation and BROWN Namasco Corporation d/b/a Namasco Steel Company COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANT) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF _ Broward (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES. USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED 3) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER) ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) John T. Kolinski, Esq. & Jennifer J. Ator, Esq., N16H 5.B 91X scayne John D. Ameen, Esq., 3111 University Blv., #1500, Miami, FL 33154 ED Dr., #608, Coral Springs, FL 33065 PALM BEACH, MARTIN, ST. LUCIE, INDIAN RIVER, OKEECHOBE ANTHLANTS 2000 CIRCLE COUNTY WHERE ACTION AROSE: DADE. MONROE: BROWARD, (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF **BASIS OF JURISDICTION** AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT)

CLARENCE MADD OFF DEF

Incorporated of Principal Place 14

of Business In This State (For Diversity Cases Only) PTF DEF Citizen of This State Χı ∑ 3 Federal Question U.S. Government (U.S. Government Not a Party) Plaintiff Incorporated and Principal Place

5

5 U.S. Government □ 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State □ 2 💆 2 (Indicate Citizenship of Parties of Business In Another State Defendant in Item III) Citizen or Subject of a 2 3 2 3 Foreign Nation Foreign Country (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) Appeal to District **ORIGIN** Judge from Transferred from ☐ 3 Remanded from □ 4 Reinstated or □ 6 Multidistrict □ 7 Magistrate Original ₹₁ 2 Removed from □ s another district Appellate Court Reopened Judgment Litigation **Proceeding** State Court (specify) (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY) **NATURE OF SUIT** A TORTS A BANKRUPTCY FORFEITURE/PENALTY **A OTHER STATUTES** A CONTRACT B□ 610 Agriculture B□ 620 Other Food & Drug PERSONAL INJURY **PERSONAL INJURY** ☐ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 ☐ 400 State Reapportionment i**0 Ins**urance ☐ 362 Personal Injury – Med Malpractice ☐ 410 Antitrust ☐ 310 Airplane Marine بُحَ □ 315 Alrplane Product Liability B□ 625 Drug Related Selzure of Property 21 USC 881 1 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 ☐ 430 Banks and Banking Miller Act ☐ 365 Personal Injury -Product Liability B 450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc Negotiable Instrument ☐ 320 Assault, Libel & Slander B 630 Liquor Laws Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment ☐ 460 Deportation A PROPERTY RIGHTS ☐ 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability B 640 RR & Truck ☐ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations B ☐ 650 Airline Regs Medicare Act ☐ 330 Federal Employers ☐ 820 Copyrights ☐ 810 Selective Service Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans B 660 Occupational Safety/Health Liability ☐ 830 Patent PERSONAL PROPERTY ☐ 340 Marine ☐ 850 Securities/Commodities/ ☐ 840 Trademark (Excl Veterans) 370 Other Fraud Exchange 345 Marine Product B 690 Other ☐ 875 Customer Challenge 12 USC 3410 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits Liability 371 Truth in Leriding A LABOR **B SOCIAL SECURITY** ☐ 380 Other Personal Property Damage ☐ 350 Motor Vehicle ☐ 891 Agricultural Acts ☐ 355 Motor Vehicle Stockholders' Sults Product Liability ☐ 385 Property Damage Product Liability ☐ 710 Fair Labor Standards □ 861 HIA (1395ff) ☐ 892 Economic Stabilization Act Other Contract ☐ 862 Black Lung (923) ☐ 893 Environmental Matters 95 Contract Product Liability ☐ 360 Other Personal Injury ☐ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) ☐ 720 Labor/Mgmt Relations ■ 894 Energy Allocation Act ☐ 864 SSID Title XVI A CIVIL RIGHTS **PRISONER PETITIONS REAL PROPERTY** □ 895 Freedom of ☐ 865 RSI (405(g)) ☐ 730 Labor/Mgmt Reporting & Disclosure Act Information Act B 510 Motions to Vacate ☐ **441** Voting 900 Appeal of Fee Determination Under Equal Access to Justice io Land Condemnation 442 Employment √ Foreclosure 442 Employ.

443 Housing/
Accommodations ☐ 740 Railway Labor Act **FEDERAL TAX SUITS** HABEAS CORPUS: Rent Lease & Ejectment ☐ 950 Constitutionality of Torts to Land A□ 535 Death Penalty ☐ 790 Other Labor Litigation State Statutes A 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) ☐ 444 Wetfare \$ Tort Product Liability ☐ 890 Other Statutory Actions B ☐ 540 Mandamus & Other B ☐ 550 CMI Rights 440 Other Civil Rights All Other Real Property A 791 Empl Ret Inc Security Act A 871 IRS - Third Party 26 USC 7609 B 555 Prison Condition CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE UNDER WHICH YOU ARE FILING AND WRITE BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE DO NOT CITE JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY) Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964; 42 U.S.C. 2000e. et. seq. days estimated (for both sides to try entire case) **DEMAND \$** CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: **REQUESTED IN** CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION **X**NO ☐ YES JURY DEMAND: □ UNDER F.R.C.P. 23 **COMPLAINT:** II.RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions) DOCKET NUMBER SIGNATURE OF ATTOPNEY OF BE OFFICE USE ONLY CEIPT # 5/8228 AMOUNT 150.00 APPLYING IFP MAG JUDGE